		Page 1	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3			
4	IN RE: DA VINCI SURGICAL ROBOT	·	
5	ANTITRUST LITIGATION) 3:21-cv-03825-VC	
J)	
6	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:)	
	ALL ACTIONS)	
7)	
8	SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE) Case No.	
0	COMPANY, INC.,) Case No.) 3:21-CV-03496-VC	
9	committy inc.,)	
	Plaintiff,)	
10)	
	vs.)	
11	INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.,)	
12	INIUITIVE BUNGICAL, INC.,)	
	Defendant.)	
13)	
14			
15	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY		
16 17	UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER VIRTUAL VIDEOCONFERENCE VIDEO-RECORDED		
18	DEPOSITION OF DAN		
19			
20	Thursday, November	10, 2022	
21	Remotely Testifying from Ale	exandria, Virginia	
22			
23	Stenographically Reported By:		
24 25	Hanna Kim, CLR, CSR No. 13083 Job No. 5564633		
	332 110. 330 1033		

	Page 2
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF	CALIFORNIA
IN RE: DA VINCI SURGICAL ROBOT) Lead Case No.:
ANTITRUST LITIGATION) 3:21-cv-03825-VC
	_)
)
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:)
ALL ACTIONS)
)
	_) _
SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE) Case No.) 3:21-CV-03496-VC
COMPANY, INC.,) 3.21-CV-03496-VC
Plaintiff,)
riametri,)
VS.)
)
INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.,)
)
Defendant.)
	_)
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL -	ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER, vir	tual videoconference
video-recorded deposition of DA	-
testifying from Alexandria, Vir	
November 10 beginning at 12:06	
concluding at 2:36 p.m., pursua	
stipulations of counsel thereof	
CLR, Certified Shorthand Report	er, No. 13083.

	Page 3
1	REMOTE VIDEOCONFERENCE APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
2	
3	For Plaintiff Surgical Instrument Service Company,
4	Inc:
5	HALEY GUILIANO
6	BY: JOSHUA VAN HOVEN, ESQ.
7	111 North Market Street, Suite 900
8	San Jose, California 95113
9	669.213.1071
10	joshua.vanhoven@hglaw.com
11	
12	
13	For Hospital Plaintiffs:
14	HAUSFELD LLC
15	BY: SAMUEL MAIDA, ESQ.
16	600 Montgomery Street, Suite 3200
17	San Francisco, California 94111
18	415.633.1908
19	smaida@hausfeld.com
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 4	
1		
2	REMOTE APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: (CONT'D.)	
3	Ear Harrital Dlaintiffs and the Dromand Class.	
	For Hospital Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class:	
4	BONI, ZACK & SNYDER LLC	
5	BY: JOSHUA D. SNYDER, ESQ.	
6	15 St. Asaphs Road	
7	Bala Cynwyd, Pennsylvania 19004	
8	610.822.0203	
9	jsnyder@bonizack.com	
10		
11		
12	For Defendant Intuitive Surgical:	
13	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP	
14	BY: KATHRYN CAHOY, ESQ.	
15	3000 El Camino Real	
16	5 Palo Alto Square, 10th Floor	
17	Palo Alto, California 94306-2112	
18	kcahoy@cov.com	
19		
20		
21	Also Present:	
22	MICHAEL BARANKOVICH, Videographer	
23		
24		

Page 74 you didn't know about the sterilization processes 1 that third parties such as Rebotix performed with 3 respect to EndoWrist instruments? MS. CAHOY: Objection to form. 4 5 THE WITNESS: That's correct. We didn't know specifically what sterilization processes they 6 7 were using. 8 BY MR. VAN HOVEN: 9 It -- and I -- I may have this wrong, but 10 did you say that you became aware of these sort of 11 third party instrument companies around 2015 or 12 2016? 13 MS. CAHOY: Objection to form. 14 THE WITNESS: I don't recall the specific 15 date, but sometime, I think it was 2015, we saw a 16 patent publication from a company that was trying to patent a hack to da Vinci instruments. 17 18 BY MR. VAN HOVEN: 19 And -- and once Intuitive became aware of 20 the potential for third parties to perform those 21 operations, did it do any monitoring of instruments 2.2 to look for that? 23 MS. CAHOY: Objection to form. 2.4 I don't know if we did. THE WITNESS: 2.5 What -- there would be different forms of monitoring

Page 75 through looking at system logs specifically for 1 2. that, or whether it was hospitals. We had heard rumors through hospitals earlier than 2015 that 3 someone might try to do this. 4 5 BY MR. VAN HOVEN: And did -- at some point, did this 6 0. 7 activity seem to increase? 8 MS. CAHOY: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: At some point with the 10 introduction into Europe, but it seemed to be the 11 first instances where we knew hospitals were being 12 approached. 13 BY MR. VAN HOVEN: 14 About when was that? Ο. 15 Α. I want -- I want to say it was in the 16 2016, '17 time frame. 17 And did the activity continue to increase Q. 18 after that 2016 to 2017 time frame? 19 MS. CAHOY: Objection to form. 20 THE WITNESS: Did the activity continue --21 could you repeat your question. 2.2 BY MR. VAN HOVEN: 2.3 Yeah. And -- and I -- I may be a little Ο. 2.4 Let's just think of it in terms of volume unclear. 2.5 of refurbished -- or volume of instruments that

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

Page 76

undergo a Rebotix type process. Is that an okay way to look at it?

- A. Yeah. I think there might have been a leveling off after the first European customers looked into it. And I don't recall who else was looking into it there besides customers. Whether regulatory bodies got involved, I really don't recall. I wasn't close to that. I think it actually decreased. And then, when we saw it emerge in the U.S., it seemed to increase again.
 - Q. When did it emerge in the U.S.?
- A. I think this Panama Surgery Center [verbatim] was one of the earliest instances of use. Again, I don't recall exactly when we detected it. But 2019 was when it seemed like there were several hospitals that we were interacting with about this issue.
- Q. And about how long, once that started in 2019, did you -- did Intuitive continue to interact with hospitals about this issue?
- A. I think even by 2020, the activity seems -- seemed to have lessened, and then COVID hit, and I don't recall it being much of an issue after COVID hit. I -- I don't know. I think it's now been two years approximately since we've seen

Page 79 I -- I know, in fact, as well that external legal 1 2. experts were consulted around this time. 3 So I'm going to bring up as tab 67. Take a look at this, and let me know when you're ready to 4 5 discuss what's been labeled as Exhibit 293 as Intuitive-00049154. 6 7 (Jones Deposition Exhibit 293 was marked electronically.) 8 9 Α. (Witness reviews document). 10 Okay. I haven't reread every line, but it 11 looks like it has the three main sections of the 12 template we just looked at, as well as the letter to 13 Evergreen Hospital we looked at earlier. 14 Yeah, and just -- and just for the record, 15 real quick, I don't think I mentioned it, but the 16 previous Exhibit 292 was Bates Number 0212226 17 [verbatim]. 18 Going back to Exhibit 293, are -- are you 19 familiar with Marin General Hospital? 20 I'm seeing that it's in Greenbrae, Α. 21 That's about as familiar as I am with California. 2.2 Marin. 23 And do you have any -- from reviewing this 24 letter, does it appear that it relates to Marin's

use of instruments that were -- let me strike that.

2.5

Page 80 From reviewing this letter, do you 1 2. understand that it's referring -- that it's based on Marin's utilizing EndoWrist instruments service 3 by -- by a company such as Rebotix? 4 5 MS. CAHOY: Objection to form. It -- it says that we 6 THE WITNESS: 7 understand that Marin General is using or consider using, so not actual use necessarily. 8 9 BY MR. VAN HOVEN: 10 O. Got it. But of what's referred to in the first 11 12 sentence as refurbished instru- -- EndoWrist 13 instruments? 14 MS. CAHOY: Objection to form. 15 THE WITNESS: Again, it says, "We 16 understand that Marin General Hospital is using or 17 considering using 'refurbished'" -- in parens, 18 excuse me, quote -- "'refurbished' EndoWrist 19 instruments, obtained from and/or modified by a 20 third party for use beyond the programmed number of 21 uses." [As read] 2.2 BY MR. VAN HOVEN: 23 And -- and among other things, does this letter contend that that's a breach or a potential 24 25 breach of Marin's agreements with Intuitive?

Page 81 1 MS. CAHOY: Objection to form. 2. THE WITNESS: You want to go to the bottom 3 of page 2? Are you asking a specific question because it's got a whole section about the contract 4 5 with Intuitive? BY MR. VAN HOVEN: 6 7 Ο. Yeah, sure. We can go there. 8 It -- and the -- and the question is 9 whether this letter is contending that use of those 10 types of instruments serviced by third parties is a 11 breach of Marin's contracts with Intuitive? 12 MS. CAHOY: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: It says, "Using instruments 14 beyond the programmed number of uses is a material 15 breach of the Agreements." 16 Again, the opening line doesn't say 17 whether they have used or are considering. 18 BY MR. VAN HOVEN: 19 Is there any other basis within this 20 letter for potentially contending that Marin 21 breached its contracts with Intuitive? 2.2 MS. CAHOY: Objection to form. 23 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the 24 question. 2.5 BY MR. VAN HOVEN:

Page 88 electronically.) 1 I've clicked on it. Α. Okay. And take a look, let me know when 3 Ο. you're ready to discuss this document. 4 5 Α. (Witness reviews document). 6 Okay. 7 0. What does this document appear to be? It is a similar letter to the previous one 8 Α. 9 we looked at. 10 And -- and this one's to Banner Health? Ο. 11 Banner Health in Phoenix, Arizona. Α. 12 Are you familiar with Banner Health? Q. 13 Α. I think it's a pretty well known hospital system in the Phoenix area. I don't know if it's 14 15 part of a larger organization. I don't know much 16 about the account. 17 Would -- would it surprise you to hear Q. that Banner Health has over 40 Intuitive robots? 18 19 Α. No. 20 About how much does an Intuitive system Ο. 21 cost on its initial purchase? 2.2 Α. It can vary anything -- I -- I haven't 23 looked at the approved price list recently. But I 2.4 think we have systems that start around 700,000. And systems that -- with various options can be 2.5

Page 90

Q. You'll see that the first paragraph mentions two agreements, one that's in parentheses a sales agreement, and one that's in parentheses a ULSA.

Do you see that?

A. Mm-hmm.

2.

2.2

- Q. What is this sales agreement?
- A. I'm not sure I've ever seen one, an actual.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. I imagine it is the terms of the system purchase or lease. This says -- yeah, this says "sale." I think the term may cover leases. I don't know.
- Q. And -- and that -- that's for the system, meaning the robot, the console, and the vision cart?

 MS. CAHOY: Objection to form. Outside the scope.

THE WITNESS: I -- I would think it would cover. And sometimes those also include some initial inventory of instruments as well. So there's -- there's just an initial investment. It may not just be the system. And also -- and also a first year of service is usually provided with a system acquisition whether that's through a --

Page 91 1 arranged in the lease terms or in the sales terms. 2. BY MR. VAN HOVEN: And in -- in the case of instruments that 3 Ο. might come with the sales agreements, once those 4 5 were used, the customer would have to purchase additional instruments? 6 7 MS. CAHOY: Objection to form. Outside 8 the scope. 9 THE WITNESS: Generally, I think that's 10 how things would work, yes. 11 BY MR. VAN HOVEN: 12 And do you have an understanding of what 13 the ULSA is? 14 It says here it's the use license and 15 service agreement. 16 Do you have any idea how that fits in with the sales agreement? 17 18 MS. CAHOY: Objection to form. Outside 19 the scope. 20 THE WITNESS: I don't know if they're 21 simultaneous, if it's separate in some cases, if 2.2 they're together in others. I don't know. 23 BY MR. VAN HOVEN: 24 0. If we go to the second bullet point 25 there -- and actually, before we go there, we'll

Page 92

note that the -- am I correct that the sales agreement and that ULSA are collectively referred to as "the Agreement" in this letter?

- A. Yeah, it looks like there should be an open quote, and there's one missing. But it says, "Each as amended (collectively, 'the Agreement')."

 And there's a footnote on it as well.
- Oh, okay. That's standard legal. Yes, the footnote goes further to, I think, imply that those two are collectively called "the Agreement."
- Q. And the -- I -- I see that there's a double collectively, but almost -- the -- so that -- and capital A "Agreement" is referring to the agreement -- to those two agreements together; is that right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. If we go to the second bullet point, could you take a look at that and let me know when you're ready to discuss it.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. It concludes with a statement that "Intuitive may terminate the Agreement immediately upon written notice, and any warranties applicable to the System will become void."

Do you see that?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Page 93 1 Α. Yes. 2. Ο. I'd -- I'd like to split that up into kind 3 of two portions. What do you understand "Intuitive may 4 5 terminate the Agreement immediately upon written notice" to mean? 6 7 MS. CAHOY: Objection to form. would instruct the witness not to answer to the 8 9 extent it would reveal privileged information. 10 THE WITNESS: I'm not a lawyer. I 11 think -- and so I don't know if there's a -- a 12 period before the other party to -- to, you know, 13 dispute, but it -- it's -- I think it says the 14 arrangement that's established by the agreements 15 would cease. 16 BY MR. VAN HOVEN: 17 Q. That --18 Are we -- are we asking what terminate Α. 19 means, or -- I don't --20 Q. Sure. 21 Yeah, I -- what's it mean to terminate the 2.2 agreement? 23 I think that it's no longer binding for Α. the two parties to fulfill their obligations. 24 And so, Intuitive would no longer have to 2.5 O.

Page 94 fulfill any of its obligation with respect to 1 2. Banner's 40 plus robots? 3 MS. CAHOY: Objection to form. Outside 4 the scope. 5 THE WITNESS: Again, I don't know if the agreements cover all 40 or the specific system. 6 7 don't know that case. 8 BY MR. VAN HOVEN: 9 Ο. But the termination of the agreement is 10 with respect to systems; right? 11 Α. Systems --12 MS. CAHOY: Objection to form. Outside 13 the scope. 14 THE WITNESS: I don't know if it is plural 15 systems in this case. I don't know if it was one 16 system or more systems that were being covered by 17 those agreements. 18 BY MR. VAN HOVEN: 19 0. Right. 20 So -- but whether it's one or more 21 systems, the agreement is the agreement is for the 2.2 system; right? 23 I don't know. I was just saying I didn't 24 know whether it applied to plural systems. 2.5 Ο. But you do know that the agreement